```
(Whereupon, the deposition began at ^
 1
     ^ a.m. ^ p.m.) 9:06.
 2
 3
               VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record for
     the deposition of Dr. Jon Krosnick. The time is
 4
     9:306 a.m.. the day is May 1st, 2009. Counsel,
                                                                     09:06AM
 5
 6
     please identify yourselves for the Record?
 7
               MR. DEIHL: This is Colin Deihl on behalf
     of Cargill.
 8
 9
               MR. TRIPLETT: Eric Triplett on behalf of
10
     Cargill.
                                                                     09:06AM
11
               MR. JONES: Tim Jones on behalf of Tyson.
12
               MR. FREEMAN: Bruce Freeman for Simmons.
13
               MR. PAGE: David Page for the State of
     Oklahoma.
14
               MS. MOLL: Ingrid Moll for the State of
                                                                     09:06AM
15
16
     Oklahoma.
                                WITNESS
17
     having first been duly sworn to testify the truth,
18
19
     the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified
20
     as follows:
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
22
     BY ^
           Please state your full name.
23
            Jon Alexander Krosnick.
24
25
            Have you ever been deposed before, Dr.
                                                                     09:06AM
```

1	A I didn't select it.	
2	Q Who selected the recovery time?	
3	A I don't know.	
4	Q Do you know who selected the re	ecovery time
5	without the alum treatment? 01:44	
6	A No.	
7	Q Were you involved in any discus	ssions about the
8	selection of the recovery time for the alum	
9	treatment?	
10	A Yes.	01:44PM
11	Q Tell me about those discussions	3.
12	A I don't remember their content	but I remember
13	that there are there's a number of recovery times	
14	in the questionnaire and one of them is with the	
15	scope instrument having to do with	I guess two of 01:45PM
16	them are in the scope instrument, the natural	
17	recovery time without alum and the accelerated	
18	recovery time with alum in the scope instrument. I	
19	have a memory of our discussing those times but I	
20	have no recollection of the content of	those 01:45PM
21	discussions.	
22	Q Do you recall who you discussed	l it with?
23	A No.	
24	Q Is it possible that the results	s of the CV
25	survey could have been different if the	ne recovery 01:45PM

1	times had been different in the proposed solution?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q Is it possible that the results of the survey		
4	could have been different if the negative impacts of		
5	alum treatment had been included in the proposed 01:		
6	solution?		
7	MS. MOLL: Objection, form.		
8	A I don't know what negative impacts you're		
9	referring to.		
10	Q Are you aware of any literature regarding the	01:46PM	
11	negative impacts of alum on the environment?		
12	A No.		
13	Q Did you ever review any documents regarding		
14	the negative impact of alum treatments on the		
15	environment? 01:46F		
16	A There may have been one or more documents		
17	distributed to the team as E-mail attachments on		
18	natural science finding on alum but I did not review		
19	those documents carefully.		
20	Q Why did you not review those documents	01:47PM	
21	carefully?		
22	A That was not part of what I was asked to do on		
23	the project.		
24	Q Dr. Krosnick, I've handed you what's been		
25	marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 13, which is an	01:47PM	